

University of Rhode Island DigitalCommons@URI

Reauthorization: S. 2724 (1990)

Education: National Endowment for the Arts and
Humanities, Subject Files I (1973-1996)

2016

Reauthorization: S. 2724 (1990): Report 07

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_I_75

Recommended Citation

"Reauthorization: S. 2724 (1990): Report 07" (2016). *Reauthorization: S. 2724 (1990)*. Paper 5.
http://digitalcommons.uri.edu/pell_neh_I_75/5

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Education: National Endowment for the Arts and Humanities, Subject Files I (1973-1996) at DigitalCommons@URI. It has been accepted for inclusion in Reauthorization: S. 2724 (1990) by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@URI. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@etal.uri.edu.

~~High Southern~~

~~High Southern~~

Adrianne Moss

→ annual hearing - just earlier
NEH

Fraser 1/10

① Chairman's actions

② → worthy of exploration by Yates:

Yates will have 5 yr. planning
document + State of The Arts report
as well as numbers - related to NEA staff
travel in Admin. budget → Staff travel
is crucial - prog. directors must not be
isolated -

- Yates should contrast - staff time
how much staff time was devoted
to the 2 reports - they were
crushing burdens.

How much travel by staff has
occurred during that period

— What is relative cost of staff
travel and co

This comparison would show a
vastly disproportionate spread between

— fetish for massive reports is
smothering a vital Endowment

— major problem - FH claims to know all
the answers.

Gates should keep these questions til end
of the day

Ask P.D.'s first - how much travel
were they able to do? Is it important?

- Then ask FH how much time + money
was allocated to the 2 huge reports.

Reports tied up every single staff person
Then → compile the data

— Could you have used some of the
Chairman's millions to travel.

Chairman has made many trips.

— time + travel regime.

— no relating between Chair + P.D.'s or
how the programs are going.

→ In March FH launches data collection
project - will turn the NEA into
research institution.

③ Risky: for FB!

flagrant inaccuracy for claims in local
programs

— local test prog. started 1984.

— now permanent

now "local programs" \$2.5 million

came out with a fact sheet that
shows \$\$ that NEA has sent out
to sent out to be matched.

But figures showing impact of
prog. are ludicrous.

first - number of local agencies
very inaccurate → in number of communities
reached + number of arts orgs.
reached.

a grant to a seven county consortium -
They take the names of every
municipality in that region + say
that is the number of people + orgs
reached - when only 7 may
be reached.

→ The fact sheet comes from Bill Canon -
now updated by new people

→ (Corporate gifts to the arts is similarly
treated. FH claims corp. giving
is up.)

→ Richard Huff - lightweight - Dir of Local
Programs.

→ Press people get fact sheet on local
programs.

same figures are used in other docs.

- member of subcom could ask about activity
in his district. How many people are reached?

— How exactly are these figures arrived...

→ What grants FH has overturned...

Yates should come down hard on
AFI — It still commands
such a huge portion of Media
Arts — such hegemony in one
critical program. p. 54. Film; Video
Center

→ Jan Naylor — board + commissioner
on Presidential Task Force
say — referred by Gene Gwaltney.

FB

Yates calling Hodson today — main problem
is with appropriation request.

→ especially — The NEA asserted That The new
million would go in direct grants
to school districts.

NEA's response was — we'll make grants
to whoever we want to.

(FH was in Chicago) Grants would be
considered "special projects"